UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/712,237	11/13/2003	Joseph Wayne Freeman	RPS920030150US1 (111)	8584
50594 7590 06/09/2008 CAREY, RODRIGUEZ, GREENBERG & PAUL, LLP STEVEN M. GREENBERG 950 PENINSULA CORPORATE CIRCLE			EXAMINER	
			PERUNGAVOOR, VENKATANARAY	
SUITE 3020			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOCA RATON	BOCA RATON, FL 33487			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/09/2008	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/712,237	FREEMAN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Venkat Perungavoor	2132	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 M 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under B	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4)  Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	es have been received.  es have been received in Applicati  rity documents have been receive  u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	ate	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 2004/0193865 to Nguyen et al.(hereinafter Nguyen) in view of US Patent 6581159 to Nevis et al.(hereinafter Nevis).

Regarding Claim 1, Nguyen discloses resetting said TCPA computing system see Par. 0026; executing a boot block code comprising a Core Root of Trust for Measurement (CRTM) see Par. 0017; reading bits in a register of a flash memory storing said boot block code, wherein said bits in said register indicate whether segments of said flash memory have been updated see Par. 0022; But does not explicitly disclose the hash values being used. However, Nevis discloses the obtaining one or more measurement values from a table storing hashed values from a previous measurement of a Power On Self Test (POST) Basic Input/Output System (BIOS) if one or more of said bits read in

Art Unit: 2132

said register indicate one or more of said segments of said flash memory storing said POST BIOS have not been updated see Col 5 Ln 15-25. It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include hash values in the invention of Nguyen in order to verify/unlock the hardware as taught in Nevis see Col 5 Ln 21-24.

Regarding Claim 2-3, Nguyen discloses the values being transmitted to TPM and setting control bits so no other device can read the register and further executing POST BIOS see Par. 0035-0036 & Par. 0038-0039.

Regarding Claim 4-5, Nguyen discloses the comparing of values and taking appropriate action if the values do not match see Fig. 3 item 316& 314 & Par. 0031.

Regarding Claim 6-7, Nguyen discloses the resetting of value and transmitting value to memory that the notify that it has been updated see Fig. 3 item 310 & 316 & 322.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Venkat Perungavoor whose telephone number is (571)272-7213. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto

Application/Control Number: 10/712,237 Page 4

Art Unit: 2132

Barron can be reached on 571-272-3799. The fax phone number for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have guestions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO

Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call

800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/V. P./

Examiner, Art Unit 2132

June 3, 2008

/Benjamin E Lanier/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2132